

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/074,863	02/13/2002	Martin J. Murphy	6059.11003 6788			
	590 05/28/2003		C			
William A. Birdwell Durando Birdwell & Janke, P.L.C. 2929 E. Broadway Blvd.			EXAMINER			
			DEB, ANJAN K			
Tucson, AZ 85716			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2858			
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J					DL			
Office Action Summary		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		10/074,863		MURPHY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anjan K Deb		2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2002	•					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is nor	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)\(\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\tex{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\texit{\text{\ti	Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application							
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consid	leration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-68 are subject to restriction and/or e	election require	ement.	•				
Application	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
· -	All b) Some * c) None of:		:d					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				Ctoro			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-35, drawn to lightning detection system (apparatus), classified in class
     324, subclass 72.
  - II. Claims 36-68, drawn to lightning detection method (process), classified in class702, subclass 4.

#### Distinctness

2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method (process) as claimed can be practiced by plurality of apparatus as disclosed. For example, the apparatus of independent claim 23 requires a non-linear amplifier whereas the apparatus of independent claim 1 does not require a non-linear amplifier.

## Why Restriction is Proper

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

-

Application/Control Number: 10/074,863

Art Unit: 2858

Election of Species

Page 3

4. If applicant elects invention of group I a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed group I invention:

Species A (Apparatus claims)

A1. Claims 1-22, species pertaining to an apparatus requiring digital processor.

A2. Claims 23-35, species pertaining to an apparatus requiring non-linear amplifier.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

If applicant elects invention of group II a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed group II invention:

Art Unit: 2858

# Species B (Method claims)

- B1. Claims 36-57, species pertaining to a method requiring a digital detection signal representative of the derivative of electromagnetic field.
  - B2. Claims 58-68, species pertaining to a method requiring amplifying an electrical detection signal so as to produce an amplitude compressed detection signal having reduced dynamic range.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,863 Page 5

Art Unit: 2858

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

A telephone call was made to attorney on record on May 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

# **Contact Information**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Anjan K. Deb whose telephone number is (703) 308-2941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le, can be reached at (703)-308-0750.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,863 Page 6

Art Unit: 2858

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone numbers are (703)-308-0956 and (703)-305-4900.

Anjan K. Deb

Tel: 703-308-2941

Patent Examiner

Fax: 703-746-4466

Art Unit: 2858

E-mail: anjan.deb@uspto.gov

5/23/03